

**REMARKS**

This is intended as a full and complete response to the Office Action dated June 26, 2003, having a shortened statutory period for response set to expire on September 26, 2003. Please reconsider the claims pending in the application for reasons discussed below.

Claims 1-2, 4-5, 8-19, 21-22, 25-40 and 42-44 remain pending in the application following entry of this response. Claims 3, 6, 7, 20, 23, 24, and 41 have been cancelled by Applicant without prejudice. Claims 43-44 have been added. Claims 12, 32 and 35 are amended to clarify the invention. These amendments were made only to correct antecedent basis errors or more clearly recite aspects of the invention. These amendments are not presented to distinguish a reference, thus, the claims as amended are entitled to a full range of equivalents. Claims 1 - 42 are rejected by the Examiner. Reconsideration of the rejected claims is requested for reasons presented below.

Claims 1-3, 5-13, 17-19, 20, 22-30, 34-36, and 39-41 are rejected under 35 USC § 102(e) as being anticipated by *Himmel et al.* (US 6,314,423; hereinafter *Himmel*). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

*Himmel* is directed to a system for providing access to downloadable bookmark sets for client browsers. Each bookmark set contains a set of Uniform Resource Locators (URLs) and is associated with related information such as a set of keywords, one or more topics, and user specific information. Searchable repositories of these bookmark sets are stored in a computer system and can be downloaded to a client browser as a unit. (See, Abstract.) In *Himmel*, bookmark sets are created by a creator (i.e., a person) who accumulates information which others consider useful and creates specific and unique bookmark sets for a plurality of topics or tasks. (See, FIG. 3 and col. 6, lines 29-36.) That is, the bookmark sets are created locally and are later uploaded to a bookmark set server which may be owned by the same or a different organization as the creator. (See col. 6, lines 43-45). Therefore, *Himmel* is directed at providing access to downloadable bookmark sets for remote client browsers and not at creating bookmark sets using information received from remote browsers. In contrast to *Himmel*, the present invention is directed to creating bookmark information that reflects the sources of individual bookmarks. The bookmark information received contains

source identifier information that identifies the source of the information, i.e., whether it was sent through a lap top computer, a cellular phone, a set-top box, etc. Each bookmark entry is stored with source identifier information that specifies where the information came from. No such functionality is performed by *Himmel*. The user specific information (i.e., client identifier) referred to in *Himmel* is used to identify potential clients that may access a bookmark set in the future, and not sources that have provided the bookmark information. Therefore, *Himmel* does not teach, show, or suggest creating a bookmark information data structure by receiving bookmark information from a plurality of sources and storing source identifier information along with the additional bookmark information.

Claims 4, 14-16, 21, 31-33, 38, and 42 stand rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Himmel et al.* (US 6,314,423). Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection. Claim 37 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Himmel et al.* in view of *Smethers* (US 6,560,640).


Applicant traverses the rejections on the basis of common ownership as set forth in 35 USC § 103 (c). Specifically, Applicants assert common ownership of United States Patent Application 6,314,423 (*Himmel et al.*) and the present application at the time of the present invention. Accordingly, *Himmel* may not be relied upon as a basis for rejection under 35 USC § 103 (a). A Statement of Common Ownership asserting the common ownership of United States Patent Application 6,314,423 (*Himmel et al.*) and the present application at the time of the present invention is filed herewith. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

In conclusion, the references cited by the Examiner, alone or in combination, do not teach, show, or suggest the invention as claimed.

The secondary references made of record are noted. However, it is believed that the secondary references are no more pertinent to the Applicant's disclosure than the primary references cited in the office action. Therefore, Applicant believes that a detailed discussion of the secondary references is not necessary for a full and complete response to this office action.

Having addressed all issues set out in the office action, Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully request that the claims be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,



Gero G. McClellan  
Registration No. 44,227  
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P.  
3040 Post Oak Blvd., Suite 1500  
Houston, TX 77056  
Telephone: (650) 330-2310  
Facsimile: (650) 330-2314  
Agent for Applicant(s)